

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1430 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,927	07/09/2001	Jilene A. Repp	1295-00044	8912
26371	7590 05/20/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER	
777 EAST WI SUITE 3800	SCONSIN AVENUE		SALVATORE, LYNDA	
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202-5308		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			1771	16
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS-11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,927	REPP ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amended	nis application. A proper repoent which places the application.	cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mai S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extending the control of the shorteness of the control of the shorteness of the control	ension and the corresponding am and statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: it			OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.	` ' '	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>s</b> :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 21,26,28,31,34,35,37 and 67-102	<u>2</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	· No(s)				
0.  Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments as presented broaden the scope of the invention such that now the batting requires nothing more than an adhesive associated therewith. Further the added adhesive "applied throughout" limitation raises the question of new matter as well as that feature not having been previously considered..

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY OF VERTICAL PROPERTY OF VERTICAL PROPERT